



Market Readiness Proposal of Jordan

Summary of expert feedback

Alyssa Gilbert
Grantham Institute, Imperial College
London

Thirteenth Meeting of the Partnership Assembly
Sweimeh, Jordan
October 28-29, 2015

Presentation contents

1. Review process
2. Overall impression
3. Key findings
4. Opportunities going forward

MRP review process

Reviewers: Alyssa Gilbert (Grantham, Imperial, UK), Francois Boulanger (NODALIS Conseil, France)

First review

- Review first draft 28th Aug – 4th Sept
- WB mission to Jordan w/c 7th September
- Conference call with MRP team 9th September
- 2nd Draft preparation

Second Review


- Review second draft 24-30 Sept
- Conference call with MRP team 1st October
- Shared further written evidence

Final document

- Revised final version end mid to end-October
- Feedback to PA-13

Overall impression

- ◆ Strong process and engagement
- ◆ Strong commitment to climate change action in a difficult context, but with promising co-benefits.
- ◆ The start of Jordan's readiness journey.
- ◆ The agreed plan of action can deliver a great deal for Jordan's climate change program as a whole
- ◆ No-regrets approach is valuable for Jordan, with RBF and crediting as possible long-term market approaches.
- ◆ Ambition is good and realism is necessary
- ◆ Considerable improvements through iterative process, reflecting the need for consideration.
- ◆ Truly enabling, should empower the potential for carbon pricing and markets: legal, financial, human capital



Pictures

Key findings: Version 1

- ◆ Consider the PMR vision for Jordan
- ◆ Produce a more logical argument and storyline
- ◆ Ensure actions truly address barriers
- ◆ Reduce complexity and focus activities to ensure impact: reduce the axes (3 to 2), remove/reframe activities
- ◆ Build on existing institutions and programmes more effectively (e.g. JEIS, JREEEF, JEF)
- ◆ Consider the time period beyond the three-year finding more explicitly
- ◆ Expand on the role for the private sector
- ◆ Used different structure from MRP building blocks

Key findings: Version 2

- ◆ Clearer long-term goal and vision: PMR storyline is present but now requires tightening.
- ◆ Potential restructure: use of BBs, stronger storyline
- ◆ Barrier analysis nearly there
- ◆ Some technical clarity still required e.g. MRV: role for M & V, sectoral vs. project-level MRV etc.
- ◆ More market-type language would be welcome
- ◆ Timelines, allowing maturation beyond three years, priorities
- ◆ What is the appropriate role for IT?
- ◆ Excellent streamlining: smaller selection of activities, with more realistic outcomes e.g. Forum

Opportunities for Jordan and the PMR

- ◆ Maintaining momentum now and beyond 3-years
- ◆ Keeping to the time-planning, and managing the unexpected
- ◆ Building upon no-regrets approaches broadly
- ◆ Overcoming skills gaps and building services
- ◆ Ensuring sufficient funding is available for renewable energy and energy efficiency
- ◆ Coordination with other donors in Jordan
- ◆ Potential leverage and piloting role broader engagement in the region, and nations with a similar profile
- ◆ Deepen understanding of what crediting, RBF and other markets can deliver, including accessing PMR technical knowledge e.g. demand for credits.

Thank You

ALYSSA GILBERT
HEAD OF POLICY AND TRANSLATION
GRANTHAM INSTITUTE, CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE ENVIRONMENT
IMPERIAL COLLEGE, LONDON
A.GILBERT@IMPERIAL.AC.UK