

How to keep Emission Trading Schemes simpler(?)

- **Simplicity** in ETS helps to
 - Reduce transaction costs for installations and regulator/ authority
 - Increases transparency
 - Communicate to policymakers and stakeholders
- ETS should provide a **level playing field**
 - Cover very simple to very complex emitters
 - Provide the right incentives (e.g. no substitution of fuels by electricity, waste gases, etc.)
 - Rules too simple may lead to more work later in application
 - ETS rules result from political process

How to keep ETSs user friendly ?

Lessons learnt from implementation of mandatory Swiss ETS

How to keep it simple for about 50 installations and regulator/ authority:

- ETS authority (FOEN) provided services of calculation of allocation to installations – immediate feedback to allocation rules
- Copy-paste of EU-ETS Guidance Documents with minor simplifications (including benchmark values)
- Close informal cooperation with EU experts
- Build on data from existing control/ financial reporting systems in installations (e.g. SAP, audited accounting systems)
- Offer choices to installations in MRV: simple and conservative versus more elaborated and less conservative
- Small design and implementation team