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**South Africa Overview**

- Middle-income country with a population of 55 million

- Coal-fired power stations have traditionally dominated the country’s electricity generation-mix

- South Africa supports climate change action as evidenced through its Integrated Resource Plan and NDC

- National Treasury is committed to implementing a carbon tax as part of a suite of policies intended to create the necessary incentives to shift the country’s electricity generation-mix towards low-carbon technologies
Introduction to CGE Modelling

CGE modelling is a challenging field. It requires mastery of economic theory, meticulous preparation of data and familiarity with underlying accounting conventions, knowledge of econometric methods, and an understanding of solution algorithms and associated software for solving large equation systems. However, the most important requirement is the ability to communicate. **CGE modelling is primarily about shedding light on real-world policy issues.** For CGE analyses to be influential, modelers must explain their results in a way that is comprehensible and convincing to their fellow economists, and eventually to policy makers.

While CGE modelling is challenging, it is also rewarding. CGE models are used in almost every part of the world to generate insights into the effects of policies and other shocks in the areas of trade, taxation, public expenditure, social security, demography, immigration, technology, labor markets, environment, resources, infrastructure and major-project expenditures, disasters, and financial crises. CGE modelling is the only practical way of quantifying these effects on industries, occupations, regions and socioeconomic groups.

*Peter B. Dixon and Dale W. Jorgenson*

*Handbook of Computable General Equilibrium Modeling*
What is UPGEM?

- Large-scale dynamic economic model designed to provide quantitative estimates of the economy-wide effects of policy proposals.

- The UPGEM database, in combination with the model’s rigorous theoretical specification, describes the main real inter-linkages in the South African economy.

- The theory of the model is then, essentially, a set of equations that describe how the values in the database move through time and move in response to any given policy shock.

- Dynamic CGE models such as UPGEM represent a significant improvement over input-output models by allowing for price-induced behaviour and resource constraints; also over static CGE models by allowing for explicit BAU projections and detail on the adjustment path over time.
# UPGEM Database Structure

## Absorption Matrix (Use Table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRODUCERS</strong></td>
<td><strong>INVESTORS</strong></td>
<td><strong>HOUSEHOLD</strong></td>
<td><strong>EXPORT</strong></td>
<td><strong>GENGOV</strong></td>
<td><strong>STOCKS</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size</strong></td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>HOU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Basic Flows** | **COMxSRC** | V1BAS | V2BAS | V3BAS | V4BAS | V5BAS | V6BAS | V0BAS Basic |
| **Margins** | **COMxSRCxMAR** | V1MAR | V2MAR | V3MAR | V4MAR | V5MAR | n/a | V0MAR Margins |
| **Indirect Taxes** | **COMxSRC** | V1TAX | V2TAX | V3TAX | V4TAX | V5TAX | n/a | V0TAX TLSP |
| **BAS + MAR + TAX = PUR Values** | **COM** | V1PUR Intermed Use | V2PUR Investment | V3PUR Priv Cons | V4PUR Exports | V5PUR Pub Cons | V6BAS Stocks | Total COM Demand |
| **Labour Costs** | **OCC** | V1LAB |
| **Production Taxes** | 1 | V1PTX |
| **Capital Rentals** | 1 | V1CAP |
| **V1PUR + V1PRIM = Total Cost** | 1 | Total IND Costs |

## Production Matrix (Supply Table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size</strong></td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>All Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COM</strong></td>
<td>MAKE Supply Table</td>
<td>V0IMP Imports</td>
<td>V0MAR Margins</td>
<td>V0TAX TLSP</td>
<td>Total COM Supply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Size</strong></th>
<th><strong>IND</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COM SRC</td>
<td>CO2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Tariffs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Size</strong></th>
<th><strong>1</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COM</td>
<td>V0TAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UPGEM Emissions Database

- The energy and emissions database linked to the model’s core economic database implies the **input-output-emissions** relationship for each industry in the model.

- The energy and emissions inventory for UPGEM is based on Blignaut et al. (2005) and Seymore et al. (2014) and was developed using emission factors from various South African sources, including DEA, which are in line with IPCC default factors.

- Fugitive emissions were not captured in the database.
UPGEM Theoretical Structure

• The theoretical structure of UPGEM is based on the well-documented MONASH model developed by the Centre of Policy Studies

• Industries minimise costs subject to input prices and a constant returns to scale production function

• Households maximise a Klein-Rubin utility function subject to their budget constraint

• New industry-specific capital are constructed as cost-minimising combinations of domestic and imported commodities

• Export demand is inversely related to the foreign-currency price

• Government demand and the details of direct and indirect taxation are also recognised in the model
**UPGEM Theoretical Structure**

- In policy simulations, the labour market follows a lagged adjustment path where wage rates respond over time to gaps between demand and supply for labour across each of the different occupation groups.

- Disequilibrium in the labour market over the short to medium term is therefore allowed.

- Capital accumulation is specified separately for each industry and linked to industry-specific net investment in the preceding period; investment in each industry is positively related to its ERoR.

- Fiscal account dynamics relates public sector debt to debt incurred during a particular year and interest payments on previous debt; adjustments to the national net foreign liability position are related to the annual investment/savings imbalance, net asset revaluation, and remittance flows during the year.
UPGEM Production Structure

- Industries in UPGEM combine various intermediate composite goods, an electricity composite good and a primary factor composite in fixed proportion.

- For each top-level composite in the production recipe, CES sub-nests allow price induced substitution between imported and domestic versions of each good, electricity generation types, primary factors and labour types.

- The electricity composite sub-nest distinguishes various electricity generation technologies.
Our aim was to isolate and measure the impact of introducing the proposed carbon tax policy on the economy.

A good way to do this is to compute the differences between a scenario in which the tax was imposed – the policy simulation – and a counterfactual business-as-usual scenario in which the tax did not occur – the baseline scenario.

Results are then reported as percentage change deviations over time between the first ‘baseline’ run and the second ‘policy’ run.

Great care must be taken in converting policy run results to their levels values as they are sensitive to baseline forecast assumptions.
**Key Assumptions: Baseline**

- Main baseline scenario based on available projections (in 2014) for selected macroeconomic variables up to 2030
- Alternative baseline scenario accounts for recent economic slowdown
- Due to endogeneity concerns, we did **not** make any explicit assumption or projection regarding potential changes to the electricity generation-mix in the baseline
- We also did **not** make any explicit assumptions regarding technical change or efficiency gains of clean technologies relative to fossil fuel based sources in the electricity generation-mix
- These assumptions dictate that the electricity generation-mix and the input-output-emissions relationship specified in the base data will remain largely **unchanged** over the baseline forecast period
**Key Assumptions: Baseline**

- In principle, two key variables determine the level of emissions projected in the baseline: how much we will produce (GDP), and at what level of technology and efficiency.

- Given the assumptions imposed on the baseline forecast, emissions grow in line with projected GDP, which explains why the main baseline scenario generates such high emissions growth over the forecast period (see figure 1).

- The most consequential assumption we make in the baseline, in terms of its impact on the policy results (both in %Δ deviation and levels terms), is that we do not allow renewable technologies to become cheaper or more efficient over time.
Key Assumptions: Policy Closure

• Variables that we believe will not be directly influenced as a result of the policy shock are set as exogenous, that is, they do not deviate from their baseline path despite the introduction of the carbon tax.

• Naturally exogenous variables in the policy run typically include technical change variables, tax rates, shift variables such as the positions of foreign export demand curves, and variables that force certain economic relationships or behaviours to hold in the long-run.

• The policy shock must be applied to an appropriate exogenous variable as identified in the simulation design phase based on the policy brief, in this case a tax on specific carbon-emitting energy inputs (coal, gas, petroleum).
**Tax Policy Design**

The modelling considers a range of scenarios. We identify one combination as the **focus scenario**, but all sensitivities are explored.

### Tax scenarios

- **T1**: tax rate increasing by 10% per annum over the period 2016–21, and thereafter by the assumed inflation rate (5.5%); tax-free thresholds are held constant for the duration of the modeling period 2016–35. Agric and waste exempt.

- **T2**: as T1, but the tax-free allowances are gradually removed at a rate of 10% points per annum from 2021. Agric and waste exempt.

- **T3**: as T1, except for the agricultural sector where the exemption is removed at a rate of 10% points per annum from 2026

- **T4**: T2+T3, tax-free allowances are gradually removed at a rate of 10% points per annum, starting in 2021, for all industries except agriculture, for which phasing out begins in 2026

### Revenue recycling scenarios

- **R1**: Recycling of tax revenues is applied through an output-based rebate on all production across all sectors

- **R2**: tax revenue is recycled through a decrease in the VAT rate on all the goods that make up household spending

- **R3**: a combination of R1 and R2 (split 50:50)

- **R4**: subsidy on the production of renewable electricity generators (for modeling purposes, directed towards solar PV)

- **R5**: The tax revenue is used to decrease the VAT rate on agricultural goods, food, transport services, and beverages and tobacco
Tax Policy Design

• All policy scenarios modelled are based on a carbon tax of R120/tCO$_2$ equiv. (before any exemptions) being imposed on all industries that use three specific fuel inputs – coal, gas and petroleum

• The 60% to 70% tax-free allowance, which includes the basic and trade-exposure exemptions, was modelled

• Performance offset and carbon budget allowances were not modelled

• Different closure settings were used to control how the tax revenue was recycled back into the economy, with various recycling schemes tested
Tax Policy Design

• The T2 scenario captures all the main tax design elements in the National Treasury Carbon Tax Policy Paper with gradual removal of tax-free allowances from 2021 but exemption for the agricultural sector maintained throughout.

• The R1 recycling scheme broadly targets industries/production via an output-based rebate, whilst other schemes (R2 to R5) focus more narrowly on households and renewable energy producers, with expected results.

• By looking at selected policy results, particularly for the T2R4 scenario, the role of certain modelling assumption can further be highlighted and interrogated.
RESULTS: FOCUS SCENARIO

FIGURE 1. In scenario T2R1, emissions in 2035 are expected to be 33 percent lower in 2035 than under the baseline assumptions.
RESULTS: FOCUS SCENARIO

FIGURE 2. In the context of the expected growth of the economy, the impact of the carbon tax is small (T2R1 scenario)
RESULTS: FOCUS SCENARIO

FIGURE 3. Household consumption and employment deviations are in line with GDP impact

Note: The two employment projections overlap almost completely, with a difference of 1.4 percentage points by 2035.
FIGURE 4. The impact of the tax, in terms of expected change in output in 2035 relative to the baseline, is small for most sectors.

62% of the sectors included are only marginally affected by the introduction of the carbon tax.
RESULTS: FOCUS SCENARIO

FIGURE 5. There are as many key export sectors that see an increase in exports as a result of the carbon tax as there are sectors that suffer a decrease in exports.

Note: Sectors are selected on the basis of export size as of February 2015.
Results: Alt Tax Policy

Figure 6. The impact on GDP is small for all four tax scenarios.

Note: T1R1 and T3R1 overlap, as do T2R1 and T4R1.
FIGURE 7. The different tax policy options give varying degrees of abatement.

Note: T1R1 and T3R1 overlap, as do T2R1 and T4R1.
Results: Alt Revenue Recycling

Figure 8. Broad revenue recycling schemes result in smaller deviations from baseline growth.
Results: Alt Revenue Recycling

Figure 9. By focusing tax revenue recycling on the renewable sector emissions can be lowered further.
RESULTS: ALT BASELINE

FIGURE 10. The deviation from baseline for the focus scenario is still small under revised growth assumptions.
RESULTS: ALT BASELINE

FIGURE 11. The magnitude of the reduction in emissions is similar under the revised baseline assumptions.
Key Points to Remember

• Why are we doing this? To internalise the world’s biggest externality and create the necessary incentives for change!

• Without even considering the benefits of counteracting climate change or efficiency gains in renewable technology, the effects of the carbon tax on most macroeconomic and industry-level variables are shown to be minimal in the long run

• When interpreting policy results, it is important not to confuse %Δ deviation with levels outcomes, for example, in our focus scenario even the worst affected industry (coal) will still be larger in absolute terms in 2030

• Concerns about relative competitiveness are best overcome through appropriate policy design, and growing international action on climate change
Thank you

Question and comments welcome, or you may contact me at

heinrich.bohlmann@up.ac.za